

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 3, 2005

LB 682

concern. I have a deep interest in education. I have a deep interest in what happens with the University of Nebraska at Lincoln, and I care about these young people greatly and deeply. And when we who are supposed to be the leaders of society set the example of violating the law--this is not a speeding violation; this is not even riding a motorcycle without a helmet. This rises to the level, in my way of thinking, of moral turpitude. It goes to the unfitness of a person to hold a position of trust. That man, and I'm talking about Hergert, has violated the law and that trust to such an extent that he is unfit to hold that office. And I'm not going to hide my hand...hide behind my hand, won't throw a rock and hide my hand. I want it known on the record where I am. By the way, I'm going to vote for Senator Redfield's motion to bring the bill back but I don't know if I'll support her amendment, and it's for some of the reasons that might have been touched on by Senator Raikes, although I don't know what his conclusion was. I think that leaders have that responsibility that I touched on, and when leaders violate the law and escape the law's consequences, they bring the whole law into contempt. Another issue that cannot be resolved or overlooked--that's the word I want to use--cannot be overlooked, is the fact that this rascal's counsel is the Speaker of the Legislature, Senator Brashear. I have a profound respect for Senator Brashear as a lawyer, as the Speaker, and which is rare for me, I even like him as a person. But when it comes to this particular situation, I have to look at it objectively. Caesar's wife must be above suspicion. There should be not even the appearance of impropriety. The appearance doesn't mean that impropriety occurred or you would charge impropriety. The code of professional responsibility places that obligation on lawyers. The code of judicial conduct places it on judges. Now a lawyer is to represent his or her client zealously. Obviously, that was done in this case because a rat who should have been put in the trap was allowed to take the cheese and run home with it. Hergert, his signature on that check for the fine was shaky, and people were wondering if he had some qualms of conscience and that's why his hand was unsteady. There were also blotches on the ink which indicates that tears had fallen, so they thought this was great remorse at what he had done. Being the investigator that I am, I checked this out. His signature was shaky because he couldn't stop